



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/788,613

02/27/2004

Robert Paul Morris

1223/US

6484

49278 7590 07/05/2007  
SCENERA RESEARCH, LLC  
111 Corning Road  
Suite 220  
Cary, NC 27518

EXAMINER

KANG, PAUL H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/05/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/788,613

**Applicant(s)**

MORRIS, ROBERT PAUL

**Examiner**

Paul H. Kang

**Art Unit**

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul H. Kang, USPTO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joyce Tom, Reg. No. 48,681.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Edlund (US 6,484,162).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
PAUL H. KANG  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER  
Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2144

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested a clarification of the Final Office action of April 18, 2007 with regards to the mapping of Edlund to the limitations of the claimed invention, e.g. specified resource, and relation comprising a matching criteria. In the rejection, the specified resource is read to be the data to be retrieved such as the news group articles, domains, and stored user queries, etc.. The relation comprising a matching criteria is the user query comprising query string, description and label as disclosed in Edlund. No agreements as to the disposition of the claims were reached.